UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,886	04/15/2004	Richard David Taylor	MP2209-156672	1435
	7590 02/18/200 ILLIAMSON & WYA	EXAMINER		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 S.W. FIFTH AVENUE			RILEY, MARCUS T	
PORTLAND, C	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/826,886	TAYLOR ET AL.
MARCUS T. RILEY	2625

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 01/16/09.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The F reason(s):</li> </ol>	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains un- is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one montl running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ler appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from contact the	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: sideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ition on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) MARCUS T. RILEY.	(3)
(2) <u>David K. Moore</u> .	(4)
/MARCUS T. RILEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625